Optically Active Naphthalene–Cr(CO)₃ Complexes *via* Diastereoselective Carbene Annulation and Haptotropic Metal Migration[†]

Karl Heinz Dötz,*^a Christine Stinner^a and Martin Nieger^b

^a Institut für Organische Chemie und Biochemie der Rheinischen Friedrich Wilhelms-Universität, D-53121 Bonn, Germany

^b Anorganisch-chemisches Institut der Rheinischen Friedrich Wilhelms-Universität, D-53121 Bonn, Germany

Pentacarbonyl[(+)- and (-)-menthyloxycarbene] complexes of chromium react with 3,3-dimethylbut-1-yne diastereoselectively to give optically active naphthalene– $Cr(CO)_3$ complexes which upon warming undergo an intramolecular haptotropic migration of the metal fragment.

Due to their plane of chirality, metal complexes of unsymmetrically *ortho*- or *meta*-disubstituted arenes have become valuable reagents in stereoselective synthesis.¹ A straightforward access to hydroquinone derivatives of this class of compounds is provided by the chromium-mediated benzannulation of an unsaturated alkoxycarbene ligand by an alkyne and a carbonyl ligand.² A diastereoselective modification of this reaction in which the $Cr(CO)_3$ moiety is coordinated selectively to one of both enantiotopic faces of the arene may be based on a chiral carbene complex or a chiral alkyne. Recently, sterically demanding α -chiral prop-2-ynylic ethers have been successfully applied in the benzannulation of vinylcarbene complexes.³ We have focussed our attention on a more general approach which involves carbene complexes containing readily available chiral alkoxy groups.

Tetramethylammonium pentacarbonylbenzoylchromate 1 is modified into the optically active menthyloxy(phenyl)carbene complexes 2–4 by an acetylation/alcoholysis sequence.⁴ In comparison with the methoxy(phenyl)carbene homologue the NMR signals of the menthyloxy group are broadened already at ambient temperature indicating a slower rotation around the C_{carbene}–O bond as a consequence of the bulky alkoxy substituent. Below –40 °C complexes 2–4 are detected as an approximate 1:2 mixture of *E*/*Z*-isomers (Scheme 1).

To study the stereodifferentiating ability of the menthyl auxiliary in the benzannulation the complexes 2–4 were reacted with the terminal alkyne 5 which is known to undergo a regiospecific incorporation into the naphthohydroquinone skeleton.⁵ Protection of the benzannulation products with SiMe₂-Bu⁴Cl and chromatographic workup afforded the silyl ethers 6 and 7 in moderate chemical yields and in diastereomeric ratios of 6a: 6b = 10:1 (81% d.e.) and 7a: 7b = 9.2:1 (80% d.e.), respectively.[‡] Surprisingly, (–)-8-phenylmenthol, generally a more selective auxiliary in cycloaddition reactions,⁶ is less efficient in the carbene annulation reaction affording the major diastereomer 8a in only 50% d.e. (Scheme 2).

The major diastereomers **6a** and **7b** were isolated as red crystals by low-temperature crystallization from light petroleum and characterized according to the upfield shift of the 3-H atom (δ 5.60 and 5.71, respectively) in the metalcoordinated arene ring formed in the annulation reaction. Their absolute configurations were determined by X-ray analyses.§ Both major diastereomers are enantiomers; in the (–)-menthyl-



Scheme 1 Synthesis of the carbene complexes 2–4. *Reagents*: i, $Cr(CO)_6$ then NMe₄Br, yield 80%; ii, AcBr then R*OH.

oxynaphthalene complex **6a** the arene–metal moiety has the R_p configuration⁷ (p = in planar chiral systems) while the (+)-menthyloxy complex **7b** is characterized by the S_p configuration (Fig. 1). The classification of **6a** and **7b** as enantiomers is further evident from their optical rotation data (**6a**: $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +693; **7b**: $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -690).

The conformational flexibility of the terpenoxy auxiliaries makes a reliable prediction of the stereochemical outcome difficult. On the basis of the mechanism generally accepted for the benzannulation⁸ we suggest that the coupling of the alkyne and the carbene ligand to generate a η^1 : η^3 -vinylcarbene



Scheme 2 Diastereoselective carbene annulation. *Reagents*: i, HC=CBu¹ 5 then SiMe₂Bu¹Cl, NEt₃. ^{*a*} Based on carbene complexes 2–4; ^{*b*} determined by ¹H NMR on the basis of the signals of the 3-H-atom.



Fig. 1 Crystal structures of the enantiomeric naphthalene–Cr(CO)₃ complexes R_p -6a and S_p -7b



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: Bun_2O , 90 °C, 20 min; analogously 7b [R* = (+)-menthyl] $\rightarrow 10$



Fig. 2 CD spectra of the enantiomeric naphthalene–Cr(CO)₃ complexes S_p -9 and R_p -10 ($c = 10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³, CH₂Cl₂)

intermediate is the stereodifferentiating step along which the chiral auxiliary controls the migration of the chromium carbonyl fragment to one of both enantiotopic faces of the vinylcarbene ligand.

The chromium-mediated benzannulation of alkoxy(aryl)carbene complexes allows control over the coordination of the Cr(CO)₃ fragment of the fused arene system.⁹ Annulation carried out at 55 °C provides kinetic control to produce **6a/b**-**8a/b**. When enantiomers **6a** and **7b** are warmed in di-*n*-butyl ether to 90 °C a haptotropic migration occurs under thermodynamic control to afford enantiomers **9** and **10** (Scheme 3) as single diastereomers with complementary optical rotation data (**9**: $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 2.5$; **10**: $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 2.0$; *c* 0.9, CHCl₃) and CD spectra (Fig. 2). This result indicates that isomerization occurs intramolecularly along one face of the naphthalene system which is in line with earlier EHMO calculations.¹⁰

The annulation of optically active carbene complexes derived from readily available auxiliaries provides a direct route to densely functionalized chiral arene– $Cr(CO)_3$ complexes which are promising reagents for elaborate stereoselective synthesis.

Support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 334), the Graduiertenkolleg 'Spektroskopie isolierter und kondensierter Moleküle', and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

Received, 2nd October 1995; Com. 5/06497J

Footnotes

† Reactions of Complex Ligands Part 67. For Part 66 see: O. Kretschik, M. Nieger and K. H. Dötz, *Chem. Ber.*, 1995, **128**, 987.

‡ General procedure for the synthesis of **6a/b–8a/b**: All reactions and work up procedures were performed under argon atmosphere. A solution of 2 mmol **2–4** and 8 mmol **5** in 5 ml Bu^tOMe was degassed in three cycles and warmed at 55 °C for 45 min. After cooling to room temperature and filtration over silica gel, 8 mmol SiMe₂Bu^tCl and 8 mmol NEt₃ were added, and the solution stirred at room temp. for 3 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography [light petroleum (bp 40–60 °C)–CH₂Cl₂ ($5:1 \nu/\nu$) at -10 °C] to afford **6a/b**, 0.66 g (55%); **7a/b**, 0.60 g (50%); **8a/b**, 0.90 g (65%). The major diastereomers **6a** and **7b** were isolated by fractional crystallization at -35 °C from light petroleum (bp 40–60 °C).

§ *Crystallographic* details of **6a** [**7b**]: $C_{33}H_{48}CrO_5Si$, red crystals, M = 604.8, space group $P2_12_12_1$ (no. 19), a = 9.349(2) [9.347(3)], b = 11.707(2) [11.721(1)], c = 30.268(4) [30.292(3)]Å, U = 3313(1) [3319(1)]Å³, Z = 4[4], $\mu(Cu-K\alpha) = 3.48 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, T = 200 [208] K, *F*(000) = 1296. 5959[5626] Reflections were measured on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer with Cu-K\alpha radiation $(2\theta_{max} = 140 \ [120^\circ])$, 5325 [4915] independent reflections were used for all calculations. The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXTL-PLUS^{11a}) and refined anisotropically on F^2 (SHELXL-93^{11b}). All hydrogen atoms were refined by using a riding model; $wR2(F^2) = 0.077 \ [0.132]$ with $R(F) = 0.029 \ [0.049]$ for 372 [372] parameters. An absorption correction was applied (DIFABS¹² **6a**, ψ -scans **7b**). The absolute configuration was determined {Flack's *x*-parameter¹³ 0.000(4) [0.005(7)]}. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Information for Authors, Issue No. 1.

References

- L. S. Hegedus, Organische Synthese mit Übergangsmetallen, VCH, Weinheim, 1995, pp. 275–293; for recent advances, see: S. G. Davies and T. J. Donohoe, Synlett, 1993, 323; S. G. Davies and W. Ewan, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 251; M. Uemura, R. Miyoka, N. Nakayama, M. Shiro and Y. Hayashi, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 1238; C. Baldoli, P. Del Buttero, E. Licandro, S. Maiorana, A. Papagni and M. Torchio, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 49, 7943; E. P. Kündig and J. Leresche, Tetrahedron, 1993, 49, 5599.
- Reviews: K. H. Dötz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1984, 23, 587;
 W. D. Wulff, in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, ed. B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, Pergamon, New York, 1991, vol. 5, pp. 1065–1113.
- 3 W. D. Wulff and R. P. Hsung, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 6449.
- 4 E. O. Fischer, T. Selmayr and F. R. Kreißl, *Chem. Ber.*, 1977, 110, 2947;
 B. C. Söderberg, L. S. Hegedus and M. A. Sierra, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, 112, 4364;
 J. Barluenga, J. M. Monserrat, J. Flórez, S. Garcia-Granda and E. Martin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1994, 33, 1392.
- 5 K. H. Dötz, J. Mühlemeier, U. Schubert and O. Orama, J. Organomet. Chem., 1983, 247, 187; W. D. Wulff, P.-C. Tang and J. S. McCallum, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 7677.
- 6 H. M. Walborsky, L. Barash and T. C. Davis, *Tetrahedron*, 1963, 19, 2333; E. J. Corey and H. E. Ensley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1975, 97, 6908.
- 7 K. Schlögl, Top. Stereochem., 1967, 1, 39; Top. Curr. Chem., 1984, 125, 27.
- 8 P. Hofmann and M. Hämmerle, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1989, 28, 908; P. Hofmann, M. Hämmerle and G. Unfried, *New J. Chem.*, 1991, 15, 769.
- 9 K. H. Dötz and R. Dietz, Chem. Ber., 1978, 111, 2517; 1977, 110, 1555.
- 10 T. A. Albright, P. Hofmann, R. Hoffmann, C. P. Lillya and P. A. Dobosh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 3396.
- (a) G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-93, Universität Göttingen, 1993; (b)
 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL-PLUS, Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, WI, 1989.
- 12 N. Walker and D. Stuart, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1983, 39, 158.
- 13 H. D. Flack, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1983, 39, 676.